

# Montpellier 6–7 Sept. 2006

WORKPACKAGE 4: Case Study Selection Criteria Hielke van der Meulen Wageningen University

## Aim of presentation

#### 1. Role Workpackage 4


## 2. Implications scope SINER-GI

## 3. Explain considerations criteria

#### 4. Explain selection procedure



## **Role WP4 in SINER-GI**



WP5: Case studies > WP6 Synthesis Impact

## **Criteria from project scope**

- A. 'New' contexts > assure context has typical effect on the case
- B. 7 intensive studies > no one-issue comparisons > no typological criteria
- C. Few cases > substantial amount of info still lacking on case
- D. Impact of GI protection > assure protection construction is hot issue

## **Implications project scope (cont.)**

Not too high expectations these criteria assure good research outcomes

SINER-GI proposal: 3 axes (supply chain; governance; institutions/legal) .... rather WP4 field research ( << WP3)</p>


#### E. If scope = prove potential of GIs in DCs > take example cases (Denis: how!)

## **Practical criteria**

- F. Guarantee good results > good researchers + local contacts
- G. Maximize lessons > select cases were a lot happens > 'half-mature' ...
- H. Maximize info on supply chain > take cases with also generic versions
- I. Many criteria > ample case info



## **Funnel procedure**



## **Sorting procedure: simplify**

#### **Possible combinations with 3 binary criteria**

Case nr.	Criterion 1	Criterion 2	Criterion 3
1	yes	yes	Yes
2	yes	yes	no
3	yes	no	yes
4	yes	no	no
5	no	yes	yes
6	no	yes	no
7	no	no	yes
8	no	no	no

#### **Additional cases?**

- Satelite cases (if EU > compare contexts > take similar cases; if same country/region area > very different cases)
- Additional cases by associated researchers (same methodology)?
  - Extra analysis cases data-base (methodology ... rough comparisons, typologies etc. <)?</p>

## **THANK YOU!**

## **QUESTIONS**?

## COMMENTS?



## **THANK YOU!**

## **QUESTIONS**?

## COMMENTS?

